

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/608,147	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DESPRES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Robert A. Wax	<b>Art Unit</b> 1653	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowable

 (1) Robert A. Wax.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Charles J. Andres.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 March 2006
**Time:** 2:53 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Potential ODP*

Claims discussed:

*1-5*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed potential rejection under obviousness-type double patenting over copending application 10/608,029. An amendment has already been submitted in that case removing the conflict. Authorization was granted for the attached Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)